

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMME United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/825,093	04/14/2004	Alain Azoulay	B-5419 621838-4	7158	
7.	590 06/29/2005	EXAMINER			
HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY Intellectual Property Administration P.O. Box 272400			PHAN, THO GIA		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Fort Collins, C	O 80527-2400	2821			
			DATE MAILED: 06/29/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/825,093	*	AZOULAY ET AL.			
		Examiner		Art Unit	[
		Tho G. Phan		2821			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	n appears on the co	over sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	Idress		
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failt Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RIMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIOnsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by streply received by the Office later than three months after the ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, on. a reply within the statutor period will apply and will exstatute, cause the applicat	however, may a reply be tim y minimum of thirty (30) days pire SIX (6) MONTHS from ion to become ABANDONEI	nely filed s will be considered timel the mailing date of this c O (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ly. communication.		
Status							
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	14 April 2004.			į		
2a)□		This action is non	-final.				
3)□							
Disposit	ion of Claims						
5)□							
Applicat	ion Papers						
10)□	The specification is objected to by the Example The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the control of the oath or declaration is objected to by the	accepted or b) o the drawing(s) be borrection is required	neld in abeyance. See if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 C	` '		
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ■ All b) ■ Some * c) ■ None of: 1. ■ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ■ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. ■							
Attachmen	• •		□ Interded	(DTO 442)			
2) 🔲 Notic 3) 🔯 Infor	te of References Cited (PTO-892) te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948 mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S or No(s)/Mail Date <u>12/2/04</u>	8) B/08) 5)	Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da Notice of Informal P Other:	ite	O-152)		

Application/Control Number: 10/825,093

Art Unit: 2821

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

1. Claims 1-2, 5, 7-8, 17 and 21 are objected to because of the following informalities:

In claim 1, line 3, "the monopole" should change to -the first monopole--.

In claim 2, line 3, "first monopole" should change to -the first monopole --.

In claim 5, line 2, "the monopole" should change to -the first monopole--.

In claim 7, line 2, "the monopole" should change to -the first monopole--.

In claim 8, line 2, "the monopole" should change to -the first monopole--.

In claim 17, line 2, "the parasitic" should change to -the grounded parasitic--.

In claim 21, line 3, "secondary" should change to -the secondary--.

Appropriate correction is required.

Double Patenting

2. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Application/Control Number: 10/825,093 Page 3

Art Unit: 2821

3. Claims 1-2, 4, 9-10, 16, 18-19 and 22 provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-3, 12-14, and 16-18 of copending Application No. 10/825,094. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because with or without a specific teaching of a planar ground plane in the claims, the instant application would also work. It would have been obvious to provide a planar ground plane for supporting and grounding the grounded parasitic element.

4. This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claims 3, 5-8, 11-15, 17 and 20-21 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The patents to Kaloi, Pritchett, Reece et al, Milne, Bolljahn, Munson et al and Fang et al are cited as of interested and illustrated a similar structure to a planar antenna device.

Art Unit: 2821

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tho G. Phan whose telephone number is 571-272-1826. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 9:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Don Wong can be reached on 571-272-1834. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Tho G Phan Primary Examiner Art Unit 2821